



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FII | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/857,021 | 0 | 8/28/2001 | Bjorn Magne Dybedokken | 032292-026 7657 | |
| 27045 | 7590 | 11/18/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| ERICSSON 6300 LEGA | | - | | CONTEE, JOY | KIMBERLY |
| M/S EVR C | | _ | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| PLANO, TX | X 75024 | | | 2686 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) DYBEDOKKEN ET AL. | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/857,021 | | |
| Auvisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Joy K Contee | 2686 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this application at the contract of this application at the contract which are the contract which are the contract of the c | ation. A proper reply to a name of the places the application in | ed |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE R 1.136(a) and the appropriate ex unt of the fee. The appropriate ex originally set in the final Office act | EP ctension xtension tion; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | • | see NOTE below): | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be | | see NOTE below), | |
| , , _ , | • | rially raducing or cimplifying | n tho |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | | | j ule |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE: | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | · · · ——— | eparate, timely filed amendr | ment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se | | dered but does NOT place | the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | , | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl | roved or b) disapproved by t | he Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | <i>,</i> • | |
| 10. Other: | OU TUNIOURA PA | Canb-Harold IANKS-HAROLD ATENT EXAMINER CENTER 2600 | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art of record presented in the final rejection mailed 06/04/2004 more than adequately provides support for the claimed limitations for the reason previously presented.